1	Final
2	
3	RCRA Facility Investigation
4	Phase 2 Work Plan
5	Parcel 21
6	
7	Fort Mingata Dopat Activity
8	For Wingale Depot Activity
9	Mickinley County, New Mexico
10	
11	August 00, 0040
12	August 30, 2012
13	
14	
15	Contract No. W9126G-11-D-0040
16	Task Order No. 0002
17	
18	
19	Prepared for:
20	
21	Hri
21	US Army Corns
22	of Engineers ®
24	
25	United States Army Corps of Engineers
26	Fort Worth District
27	P.O. Box 17300
28	Fort Worth, Texas 76102
29 30	
31	Prepared by:
32	· · · ·
33	
34	amec
35	AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, Inc.
36	4600 E. Washington Street, Ste. 600
১। 38	Phoenix, Anzona 85034

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of Information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information display a currently valid OMB control number.				
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM- YYYY) 8/30/2012	2. REPORT TYPE RFI Phase 2 Work Plar	1		3. DATES COVERED (From – To) June 2012 – August 2012
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Final BCRA Eacility Investigation Phase	a 2 Work Plan		5a. W9126	CONTRACT NUMBER G-11-D-0040
Parcel 21 Fort Wingate Depot Activity			5b. N/A	GRANT NUMBER
McKinley County, New Mexico			5c.	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S) Julie Hamilton/AMEC			5d.	PROJECT NUMBER
Dan Kwiecinski/AMEC			5e.	TASK NUMBER
			5d.	WORK UNIT NUMBER
7.PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)8.PERFORMING ORGANIZATIONAMEC Environment & Infrastructure, Inc.REPORT NUMBER4600 E. Washington Street, Ste 600AMEC.912640002.0014.02Phoenix, Arizona 85034AMEC.912640002.0014.02				
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADCDDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Fort Worth District ACRONYM(S) USACE ACRONYM(S) USACE				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) USACE
CESWF-PER-D 819 Taylor Street Fort Worth, TX 76102 Project Manager: Mr. Steven Smith				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Unlimited.				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
14. ABSTRACT This RFI Phase 2 Work Plan describes additional investigation activities at Parcel 21, Solid Waste Management Unit (SWMU) 2 – Building 515, SWMU 19 – Building 501, Area of Concern (AOC) 63 – Building 509, AOC 64 – Building 510, AOC 68 – Structure 514, and AOC 75 – Former Electrical Transformers at Fort Wingate Depot Activity, McKinley County, New Mexico.				
Fort Wingate Depot Activity, Phase 2 RFI Work Plan, Parcel 21, SWMU 2 and 19 and AOCs 63, 64, 68, and 75.				
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS	OF: 17. LIMITATION OF PAGE ABSTRACT SAR	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 43	19a. NAN Mark P	ME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON atterson
			19b. TEL 330/358	EPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 8-7312
2 3				Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39. 18



SUSANA MARTINEZ Governor

JOHN A. SANCHEZ Lieutenant Governor

NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT

Hazardous Waste Bureau

2905 Rodeo Park Drive East, Building 1 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505-6303 Phone (505) 476-6000 Fax (505) 476-6030 www.nmenv.state.nm.us



RYAN FLYNN Cabinet Secretary-Designate

> BUTCH TONGATE Deputy Secretary

TOM BLAINE, P.E. Director Environmental Health Division

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

June 20, 2013

Mark Patterson BRAC Coordinator Ravenna Army Ammunition Plan Building 1037 8451 State Route 5 Ravenna, OH 44266 Steve Smith USACE FWDA Program Manager CESWF-PER-DD 819 Taylor Street, Room 3B06 PO Box 17300 Fort Worth, TX 76102-0300

RE: APPROVAL FINAL RCRA FACILITY INVESTIGATION PHASE 2 WORK PLAN PARCEL 21 FORT WINGATE DEPOT ACTIVITY, NEW MEXICO EPA ID# NM6213820974 FWDA-12-007

Dear Messrs. Patterson and Smith:

The New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) received the Department of the Army's (the Permittee) *Final RCRA Facility Investigation Phase 2 Work Plan* (Work Plan), *Parcel 21*, dated, August 30, 2012. The Work Plan was prepared to propose additional field activities to be conducted within Parcel 21 Solid Waste Management Unit (SWMU) 2- Building 515, SWMU 19 - Building 501, Area of Concern (AOC) 63 – Building 509, AOC 64 – Building 510, AOC 68 – Structure 514, and AOC 75 – Former Electrical Transformers at Fort Wingate Depot Activity (FWDA), New Mexico. NMED has reviewed the Work Plan and hereby approves the Work Plan. The Permittee must submit a Phase 2 Investigation Report summarizing the results of implementation of the Work Plan no later than July 31, 2014.

Messrs. Patterson and Smith June 20, 2013 Page 2

If you have questions regarding this approval please contact Victoria Baca of my staff at 505-476-6059.

Sincerely,

John E. Kieling

Chief Hazardous Waste Bureau

Shannon Duran, NMED HWB cc: Dave Cobrain, NMED HWB Christy Esler, USACE Laurie King, U.S EPA Region 6 Chuck Hendrickson, U.S. EPA Region 6 Steve Beran, Zuni Pueblo Darrell Tsabetsaye, Zuni Pueblo Kirk Bemis, Zuni Pueblo Tony Perry, Navajo Nation Franklin Jishie, Navajo Nation Jason John, Navajo Nation Eugenia Quintana, Navajo Nation Clayton Seoutewa, Southwest Region BIA Rose Duwyenie, Navajo BIA Judith Wilson, BIA Eldine Stevens, BIA Barbara Davis, BIA Katherine Nunan, BIA

> File: FWDA 2013 & Reading File HWB-FWDA-12-007

1	
2	
3	Final
4	
5	RCRA Facility Investigation
6	Phase 2 Work Plan
7	Parcel 21
8	
9	
10	Fort Wingate Depot Activity
11	McKinley County, New Mexico
12	
13	
14	August 30, 2012
15	
16	
17	Contract No. W9126G-11-D-0040
18	Task Order No. 0002
19	
20	Dropored for
21	Prepared for:
22	United States Army Corps of Engineers
23	Eart Worth District
24	$P \cap Box 17300$
20	F.O. Dox 17300 Fort Worth Texas 76102
20	
21 28	
20	Prenared by:
20	rioparoa by.
31	AMEC Environment & Infrastructure Inc
32	4600 E. Washington Street, Ste. 600
33	Phoenix, Arizona 85034
34	
35	

NMED APPROVAL LETTER (WILL BE INSERTED)

3

2

1

2 3 DOCUMENT CERTIFICATION 40 CFR 270.11 AUGUST 2012

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

- 11
- 12 Mr. Steven Smith
- 13 Project Manager
- 14 United States Army Corps of Engineers
- 15

1 2

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

PREFACE

This Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Facility Investigation Phase 2 Work Plan describes the supplement field activities that will be conducted at the Parcel 21 Solid Waste Management Unit (SWMU) 2 – Building 515, SWMU 19 – Building 501, Area of Concern (AOC) 63 – Building 509, AOC 64 – Building 510, AOC 68 – Structure 514, and AOC 75 – Former Electrical Transformers at Fort Wingate Depot Activity (FWDA), New Mexico. This work plan addresses the requirements of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Statement of Work (SOW) dated August 11, 2011.

9 This Work Plan was prepared by AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, Inc. in August 2012.
10 Mr. Mark Patterson served as the FWDA Defense Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC)
11 Environmental Director and Mr. Steve Smith served as the USACE Project Manager.

12

1

13 Julianne Hamilton, PG

14 Program Manager

15

Dan Kwiecinski, PE Project Engineer

DOCUMENT DISTRIBUTION LIST

Organization (Name)	Number of Printed Copies	Number of Electronic Copies
BIA (Angela Kelsey)	0	1
BIA (Ben Burshia)	0	1
BIA Zuni (Clayton Seoutewa)	1	1
BIA-NR (Rose Duwyenie)	1	2
BRACD (Bill O'Donnell)	0	1
DOI/BLM (Eldine Stevens)	0	1
DOI/BLM (Judith Wilson)	0	1
FWDA (Micki Gonzales)	2	2
FWDA BEC (Mark Patterson)	0	1
NMED HWB (John Kieling)	2	2
NN (Tony Perry)	1	7
POZ (Darrell Tsabetsaye)	1	8
USACE (Mike Kipp)	0	1
USACE SWF (Steven Smith)	1	2
USEPA 6 (Chuck Hendrickson)	1	1
Web manager (Pat Ryan)	0	1

BRACD = U. S. Army Base Realignment and Closure Division. FWDA ARM = Fort Wingate Depot Activity Administrative Records Manager. FWDA BEC = Fort Wingate Depot Activity Base Realignment and Closure Environmental Coordinator. FWDA EIMS = Fort Wingate Depot Activity Environmental Information Management System. NN = Navajo Nation POZ = Pueblo of Zuni USACE SWF = U. S. Army Corps of Engineers – Fort Worth District. USAEC = U. S. Army Environmental Command.

2

Page

NMED APPROVAL LETTER

3	NMED	APPROVAL LETTERi
4	DOCU	MENT CERTIFICATION iii
5	PREF	ACEv
6	DOCU	MENT DISTRIBUTION LIST vii
7	LIST C	OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONSx
8	1.0	INTRODUCTION1-1
9		1.1 Purpose and Scope1-1
10		1.2 Background Information1-1
11	2.0	DESCRIPTION OF INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES2-1
12		2.1 Site Safety and Awareness
13		2.2 Survey of Sample Locations
14		2.3 Investigation Methods and Quality Control2-1
15		2.4 Sample Identification2-2
16		2.5 Investigation-Derived Waste
17	3.0	SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS AT SWMU 2 – BUILDING 5153-1
18	4.0	SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS AT SWMU 19 – BUILDING 5014-1
19	5.0	SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS AT AOC 635-1
20	6.0	SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS AT AOC 686-1
21	7.0	SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS AT AOC 757-1
22	8.0	POST-IMPLEMENTATION REPORTING8-1
23	9.0	SCHEDULE9-1
24	10.0	REFERENCES10-1

25

1

2

Section

LIST OF TABLES

26	Table 3-1. Summary of Samples to be Collected at SWMU 2 – Building 515	. 3-2
27	Table 4-1. Summary of Samples to be Collected at SWMU 19 - Building 501	. 4-2
28	Table 5-1. Summary of Samples to be Collected at AOC 63	. 5-1
29	Table 6-1. Summary of Samples to be Collected at AOC 68	. 6-1
30	Table 7-1. Summary of Samples to be Collected at AOC 75	.7-1

31

LIST OF FIGURES

32	Figure 3-1. Phase 2 Sample Locations, SWMU 2 – Building 515	. 3-3
33	Figure 4-1. Phase 2 Sample Locations, SWMU 19 – Building 501	. 4-3
34	Figure 5-1. Phase 2 Sample Locations, AOC 63 - Building 509	. 5-3
35	Figure 6-1. Phase 2 Sample Locations, AOC 68 - Structure 514	. 6-3
36	Figure 7-1. Phase 2 Sample Locations, AOC 75 - Former Electrical Transformers	.7-3

1		LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS
2	AOC	Area of Concern
3 4	CFR	U.S. Code of Federal Regulations
5 6	DRO	diesel range organics
7 8	EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
9 10	FWDA	Fort Wingate Depot Activity
11 12 13	GPS GRO	Global Positioning System gasoline range organics
14 15 16 17	HASP HAZWOPER HWB	Health and Safety Plan Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response Hazardous Waste Bureau
18 19 20	ID IDW	identification investigation-derived waste
21 22 23 24	MI MSDS	Multi-increment Material Safety Data Sheet
24 25 26 27	NAD NMED NOD	North America Datum New Mexico Environment Department Notice of Disapproval
29 30	PCB PPE	polychlorinated biphenyl personal protective equipment
31 32	QA/QC	quality assurance/quality control
33 34 35 36	RCRA RDX RFI	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Royal Demolition Explosive RCRA Facility Investigation
38 39 40	SOW SSO SWMU	Statement of Work Site Safety Officer Solid Waste Management Unit
42 43 44	TPH TPMC	total petroleum hydrocarbons TerranearPMC
45 46 47	USACE UTM	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Universal Transverse Mercator Index

1 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Facility Investigation (RFI) Phase 2 Work Plan describes the additional investigation activities to be completed at Solid Waste Management Unit (SWMU) 2 – Building 515, SWMU 19 – Building 501, Area of Concern (AOC) 63 – Building 509, AOC 64 – Building 510, AOC 68 – Structure 514, and AOC 75 – Former Electrical Transformers, all within Parcel 21 at Fort Wingate Depot Activity (FWDA), McKinley County, New Mexico.

8 This RFI Work Plan has been prepared for submission to the New Mexico Environment 9 Department's (NMED) Hazardous Waste Bureau (HWB), as required by Section VII.H.1.a of the 10 RCRA Permit (NM 6213820974) for the FWDA, which became effective December 31, 2005 11 and was revised in June 2011.

12 This RFI Work Plan has been prepared in partial fulfillment of the requirements of Contract 13 Delivery Order No. 0002 under Contract No. W9126G-11-D-0040 as outlined in the Statement 14 of Work (SOW) dated August 11, 2011. Technical oversight of this work was provided by the 15 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Fort Worth District.

16 **1.1 Purpose and Scope**

The purpose of this RFI Phase 2 Work Plan is to propose additional investigation at select areas
within Parcel 21 as recommended by the Army in the *RCRA Facility Investigation Report, Parcel 21, Fort Wingate Depot Activity* (hereafter referred to as the RFI Report, TerranearPMC
[TPMC], 2011) and to address comments received from the NMEDHWB, contained in a Notice
of Disapproval (NOD) (NMED, 2012a).

22 **1.2 Background Information**

Complete background information regarding FWDA and Parcel 21 is provided in numerous
 documents previously submitted to NMED, including the following:

- Summary Report of Historical Information, Parcel 21, Fort Wingate Depot Activity (TPMC, 2008a);
- RCRA Facility Investigation Work Plan, Parcel 21, Fort Wingate Depot Activity, Revision
 30 September 2008 (hereafter referred to as the RFI Work Plan, TPMC, 2008b); and,
- RFI Report (TPMC, 2011).

Parcel 21 is approximately 167 acres in size and includes portions of the former Workshop Area and Administration Area. All utilities to Parcel 21 were terminated and buildings were demolished in 2010. Characterization activities were conducted during 2009 and 2010, in accordance with the NMED approved RFI Work Plan (TPMC, 2008b). RFI activities were detailed in the RFI Report (TPMC, 2011). NMED responded to submittal of the RFI Report with an NOD in 2012. The Revised RFI Report was subsequently approved by NMED in a letter dated August 27, 2012 (NMED, 2012b). The investigation activities described in this Phase 2

- 1 RFI Work Plan have been developed to address the NOD from NMED HWB and to implement
- 2 supplemental recommendations made by the Army in the RFI Report.

1 2.0 DESCRIPTION OF INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES

2 This section provides general information regarding the planned field activities to be completed 3 as part of this Phase 2 Work Plan. Information specific to SWMU 2, SWMU 19, AOC 64 and 65,

4 AOC 68, and AOC 75 are presented in Sections 3.0, 4.0, 5.0 respectively.

5 2.1 Site Safety and Awareness

All work will be accomplished in accordance with Army safety measures. A project-specific
Health and Safety Plan (HASP) will be developed prior to conducting site activities. The HASP
defines the roles and responsibilities of site personnel, establishes proper levels of personal
protective equipment (PPE), and describes emergency response and contingency procedures.
The associated Activity Hazard Analyses define hazards associated with each type of work
activity and how those hazards will be mitigated.

All work will be completed by a supervisor, operators, and technicians that have successfully completed 40-hour Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response (HAZWOPER) training in accordance with 29 *U.S. Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) 1910.120. A dedicated Site Safety Officer (SSO) will be on site during all field activities associated with implementation of this Work Plan. The SSO will be responsible for conducting site-specific training, daily tailgate safety meetings, and conducting periodic safety inspections.

As part of the Hazard Communication Standard, all Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDSs) for
any chemicals used in the execution of the Phase 2 RFI will be submitted to Lura Gonzales,
FWDA Administrative Records Manager, for inclusion in the FWDA MSDS binder.

21 **2.2** Survey of Sample Locations

The location of each sample collected will be surveyed using appropriate instrumentation and procedures to obtain horizontal accuracy of less than 0.1 feet. A Trimble Total Station Global Positioning System (GPS), Trimble Static GPS, or equivalent, will be utilized to document each soil sample location. A North American Datum (NAD) 1983 Northing and Easting in U.S. Survey Feet will be established for all surveyed points and recorded in a dedicated field notebook. Survey data will be supplied in the Final Report in New Mexico State Plane and Universal Transverse Mercator Index (UTM) coordinates.

29 **2.3** Investigation Methods and Quality Control

Investigation methods and quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures for sampling
 within Parcel 21 are detailed in Section 15.0 and 16.0 of the Parcel 21 RFI Work Plan (TPMC,
 2008b) and are incorporated into this Work Plan by reference. Investigation activities conducted
 as part of this Phase 2 Work Plan will be conducted in general accordance with the original RFI
 Work Plan.

1 2.4 Sample Identification

During sampling unique sample identification numbers will be assigned to each sample or subsample. Each sample identification (ID) number will consist of a combination of the Parcel number, SWMU number, additional site identifier, source of sample, increment or boring number, type of sample, and depth of sample collection in accordance with the latest version of the FWDA Environmental Information Management Plan (USACE, 2007b). Following is an example sample number and a description of the sample identifiers to be used during implementation of this Phase 2 Work Plan.

- 9 **Example Sample ID:** 2102B515SS01-0.0-0.5D-SO
- 10 Parcel: 21
- 11 SWMU or AOC: in this case SWMU 02
- 12 Additional Site Identifier: in this case B515 (Building 515)
- 13 Source of Sample: in this case SS (surface soil)
- Increment Number: Samples collected within each SWMU/AOC will be assigned
 sequential 2-digit numbers (in this case 01)
- 16 Depth Range: In feet (in this case 0.0 to 0.5 feet)
- 17 Type of Sample: D (discrete)
- 18 Matrix: SO (Soil)

19 QA/QC samples will carry the same sample nomenclature as the parent sample with a unique 20 suffix and numeral (if required) to distinguish individual samples. Equipment rinsate blanks, trip 21 blanks, and field blanks will carry the sample location identifier with an additional designation of 22 TBXX or EBXX (where XX represents the sequence number of the sample). Each blank will 23 have a unique tracking number.

24 **2.5** Investigation-Derived Waste

Three types of investigation-derived waste (IDW) may be generated during the sampling of environmental media during the Parcel 21 Phase 2 RFI activities: residual soil volume, decontamination fluids, and disposable sampling equipment/PPE. These IDW categories will be managed as follows:

Limited surface and shallow subsurface soil that remains after required sample volumes
 have been collected from drive samplers and hand augers will be returned to the hole as
 allowed by NMED.

 Decontamination fluids will be contained within a temporary decontamination pad area 1 2 during active sampling and decontamination activities at a site. Volumes of decontamination fluids are anticipated to be small. Accumulated wash and rinse water 3 will be left within the decontamination pad area and allowed to evaporate. In the event of 4 5 rainfall events, decontamination fluids will be containerized in drums temporarily and 6 allowed to evaporate at a later date, but prior to demobilization for the sampling event. In 7 no circumstance will accumulated fluids be stored on-site following the sampling event. 8 Used, non decontaminated disposable sampling equipment or PPE will be placed in polyethylene trash bags and treated as general refuse. Refuse will be placed in suitable 9 facility trash receptacles on a daily basis. 10

2

1 3.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS AT SWMU 2 – BUILDING 515

2 The RFI Report for Parcel 21 recommended select corrective measures and additional characterization activities within SWMU 2. The investigation found that soils within the paint 3 4 debris disposal area south of Building 515 contained lead at a concentration exceeding the 5 established Permit cleanup level. A localized area of paint debris within the larger area was 6 identified and found to contain both lead and arsenic at concentrations exceeding the Permit 7 cleanup levels. NMED recommended discrete sample collection from the 1 to 2 foot depth interval at several locations within this area. In lieu of sampling, the Army plans to remove the 8 top 1 to 2 feet of soil within the paint debris disposal area and obtain confirmation samples from 9 10 the bottom of the excavation. This action will be described in a future corrective measures work 11 plan.

12 The RFI also found that soils underlying the asphaltic pavement adjacent to the doorway on the west end of Building 515 contained lead, and arsenic at concentrations exceeding Permit 13 14 cleanup levels. Polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) Arochlor 1254 was also detected. The NMED 15 NOD also proposed additional sampling in this area. In order to address both the NMED NOD comments and the RFI Report recommendations, the Army proposes to establish four sample 16 locations 20 feet horizontally to the north, south, east, and west of sample location 17 18 2102B515SS01-0.5D-SO to further delineate the extent of impacted soil. The Army will collect 19 two samples at each location, from just below the pavement (0 to 0.5 foot interval) and at the 1 20 to 1.5 foot depth interval. An additional sample will be collected at location 2102B515SS01-21 0.5D-SO from the 1 to 1.5 foot depth interval to provide vertical delineation data. All samples will 22 be analyzed for lead, arsenic, and PCBs. These samples correspond to sample locations 2102B515SS01 through 2102B515SS05 as indicated in Table 3-1 and Figure 3-1. 23

24 In order to address NMED comment 5, additional sampling will be conducted within the former acid holding pond for chromium III and chromium VI. Two samples will be collocated with 25 26 previous sample locations APBSO03 and APB06 (sample locations 2102B515SS06 and 27 2102B515SS07). Two additional samples will be located at low points in the pond area (sample 28 locations 2102B515SS08 and 2102B515SS09). Samples will be collected from the 0.5 to 1.0 29 foot and 1.5 to 2.0 foot depth intervals. These samples are summarized in Table 3-1 and illustrated in Figure 3-1, along with associated sample numbers. The locations of samples 30 2102B515SS08 and 2102B515SS09 illustrated in Figure 3-1 are approximate; they will be 31 located at low points in the pond area. 32

33

Sample Identification Number	Sample Depth (feet)	Sample Analyses	Regulatory Requirement
2102B515SS01-1.0-1.5D-SO	1 to 1.5		
2102B515SS02-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5]	
2102B515SS02-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		
2102B515SS03-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		NMED NOD Comment 3
2102B515SS03-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5	Lead, Arsenic, and PCBs	and Army Recommondations from
2102B515SS04-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		Section 4.5 of RFI Report
2102B515SS04-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		
2102B515SS05-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5]	
2102B515SS05-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		
2102B515SS06-0.0-0.5D-SO	0.5 to 1.0		NIMED NOD Commont 5
2102B515SS06-1.5-2.0D-SO	1.5 to 2.0	Chiomum in and vi	NMED NOD Comment 5
2102B515SS07-0.0-0.5D-SO	0.5 to 1.0		NIMED NOD Commont 5
2102B515SS07-1.5-2.0D-SO	1.5 to 2.0	Chiomum in and vi	NMED NOD Comment 5
2102B515SS08-0.0-0.5D-SO	0.5 to 1.0		NIMED NOD Commont 5
2102B515SS08-1.5-2.0D-SO	1.5 to 2.0	Chiomum in and vi	NMED NOD Comment 5
2102B515SS09-0.0-0.5D-SO	0.5 to 1.0		NIMED NOD Commont 5
2102B515SS09-1.5-2.0D-SO	1.5 to 2.0		INIVIED INCO COMMENT 5

Table 3-1. Summary of Samples to be Collected at SWMU 2 – Building 515

2

1



1 4.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS AT SWMU 19 – BUILDING 501

The RFI Report proposed no further action for SWMU 19. However, NMED did not approve the multi-increment (MI) sampling conducted for PCB analysis. The Army proposes to collect discrete samples for PCB analysis from two different depth intervals at a total of 14 locations, as stipulated in the NOD. The Army proposes to collect samples from approximate depth intervals of 0 to 3 inches and 10 to 14 inches as requested by NMED.

A summary of samples to be collected at SWMU 19 and associated NMED comment numbers are presented in Table 4-1. Proposed sample locations are illustrated in Figure 4-1, along with

9 associated sample numbers.

Sample Identification Number	Sample Depth (feet)	Sample Analyses	Regulatory Requirement
2119B501SS03-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2119B501SS03-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		
2119B501SS04-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2119B501SS04-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		
2119B501SS05-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2119B501SS05-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		
2119B501SS06-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2119B501SS06-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		
2119B501SS07-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2119B501SS07-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		
2119B501SS08-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2119B501SS08-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		
2119B501SS09-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2119B501SS09-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5	PCBs	NMED NOD Comments 7
2119B501SS10-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5	PCBs	and 9
2119B501SS10-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		
2119B501SS11-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2119B501SS11-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		
2119B501SS12-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2119B501SS12-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		
2119B501SS13-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2119B501SS13-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		
2119B501SS14-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2119B501SS14-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		
2119B501SS15-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2119B501SS15-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		
2119B501SS16-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2119B501SS16-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		

Table 4-1. Summary of Samples to be Collected at SWMU 19 – Building 501

2

1





1 5.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS AT AOC 63

2 The RFI Report noted that 2,4-DNT concentrations in two previous soil samples at AOC 63 (B509SO001 and 2163B50964B510SS07-1.0D-SO) exceeded the Permit cleanup level. The 3 4 Army plans to establish three additional soil sample locations, 10 feet horizontally to the north, 5 west, and south from sample B509SO001. Soil samples for explosives analysis will be collected 6 from the 0 to 0.5 foot and 1.0 to 1.5 foot depth intervals at each location. An additional sample 7 will be collected from the 1.0 to 1.5 foot depth interval at the same location as the previous sample B509SO001 to allow vertical evaluation at the location of previously detected 8 concentrations. Similarly, the Army plans to establish three additional soil sampling locations 10 9 10 feet horizontally and to the north, east, and south from sample 2163B50964B510SS07. Soil samples for explosives analysis will be collected from the 0 to 0.5 foot, 1.0 to 1.5 foot, and 2.0 to 11 2.5 foot depth intervals. An additional sample will be collected from the 2.0 to 2.5 foot depth 12 interval at the same location as the previous sample 2163B50964B510SS07. 13

A summary of samples to be collected at AOC 63 is presented in Table 5-1. Proposed sample locations are illustrated in Figure 5-1, along with associated sample numbers.

Table 5-1. Summary of Samples to be Collected at AOC 63

Sample Identification Number	Sample Depth (feet)	Sample Analyses	Regulatory Requirement
2163B509SS11-1.0-1.5D-SO	1 to 1.5		
2163B509SS12-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2163B509SS12-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		
2163B509SS13-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2163B509SS13-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		
2163B509SS14-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2163B509SS14-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		Army recommendations from Section 9.5 of RFI
2163B509SS07-2.0-2.5D-SO	2 to 2.5	Explosives	
2163B509SS15-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2163B509SS15-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		Report
2163B509SS15-2.0-2.5D-SO	2.0 to 2.5		
2163B509SS16-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2163B509SS16-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		
2163B509SS16-2.0-2.5D-SO	2.0 to 2.5		
2163B509SS17-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2163B509SS17-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		
2163B509SS17-2.0-2.5D-SO	2.0 to 2.5		

17

2





1 6.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS AT AOC 68

The RFI Report noted that the Royal Demolition Explosive (RDX) concentration in a previous soil sample at AOC 68 (B514SO001) exceeded the Permit cleanup level. The Army plans to establish three additional sample locations, 10 feet horizontally to the north, east, and south from sample B514SO001. Soil samples for explosives analysis will be collected from the 0 to 0.5 foot and 1.0 to 1.5 foot depth intervals at each location. An additional sample will be collected from the 1.0 to 1.5 foot depth interval at the same location as the previous sample B514SO001.

9 A summary of samples to be collected at AOC 68 is presented in Table 6-1. Proposed sample 10 locations are illustrated in Figure 6-1, along with associated sample numbers.

11

Table 6-1. Summary of Samples to be Collected at AOC 68

Sample Identification Number	Sample Depth (feet)	Sample Analyses	Regulatory Requirement
2168B514SS08-1.0-1.5D-SO	1 to 1.5		
2168B514SS09-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2168B514SS09-1.0-1.5D-SO	1 to 1.5		Army recommendations
2168B514SS10-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5	Explosives	from Section 11.5 of RFI
2168B514SS10-1.0-1.5D-SO	1 to 1.5		Report
2168B514SS11-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2168B514SS11-1.0-1.5D-SO	1 to 1.5		

12

2





1 7.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS AT AOC 75

The RFI Report describes multi-increment (MI) sampling for PCBs that was conducted on surfaces surrounding the locations of two transformers within Parcel 21 (referred to as AOC 75). NMED has requested discrete sampling for PCB analysis. The Army is proposing to collect discrete samples for PCB analysis from two different depth intervals at a total of 5 locations. The Army proposes to collect samples from depth intervals of 0.0 to 0.5 feet and 1.0 to 1.5 feet at each location.

A summary of samples to be collected at AOC 75 is presented in Table 7-1. Proposed sample locations are illustrated in Figure 7-1, along with associated sample numbers.

10

Table 7-1. Summary of Samples to be Collected at AOC 75

Sample Identification Number	Sample Depth (feet)	Sample Analyses	Regulatory Requirement
2175B501SS01-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5	PCBs	NMED NOD Comment 11
2175B501SS01-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		
2175B501SS02-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2175B501SS02-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		
2175B501SS03-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2175B501SS03-1.0-1.5D-SO	1.0 to 1.5		
2175B515SS01-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		
2175B515SS02-0.0-0.5D-SO	0 to 0.5		

11

2





1 8.0 POST-IMPLEMENTATION REPORTING

All activities conducted as part of this Phase 2 RFI Work Plan will be documented in a brief Phase 2 RFI Letter Report. The final report will contain, at a minimum, a detailed schedule of completed activities, a summary of analytical data, and an evaluation of data comparing results to NMED's Risk Assessment Guidance for Site Investigation and Remediation, dated February 2012 (NMED, 2012c).

1 9.0 SCHEDULE

A summary of the expected schedule for conducting the Phase 2 RFI activities at Parcel 21 is
presented below. Days listed are days following NMED approval of this Work Plan and Army
notice to proceed.

- 5 30 days Provide 30 day notice to NMED
- 60 days Initial mobilization to conduct investigation
- 7 120 days Submittal of Army Draft Phase 2 Report
- 270 days Submittal of Final Phase 2 Report
- 9

1 10.0 REFERENCES

NMED, 2012a. Notice of Disapproval, RCRA Facility Investigation Report, Parcel 21, Fort
 Wingate Depot Activity, New Mexico. New Mexico Environment Department, 20 March 2012.

4 NMED 2012b. Approval Revised RCRA Facility Investigation Report, Parcel 21, Fort Wingate
5 Depot Activity, New Mexico. New Mexico Environment Department, 27 August 2012.

- 6 NMED 2012c. Risk Assessment Guidance for Site Investigations and Remediation. New Mexico7 Environment Department, February 2012.
- TPMC, 2008a. Summary Report of Historical Information, Parcel 21, Fort Wingate Depot
 Activity. TerranearPMC, 7 February 2008.
- TPMC, 2008b. RCRA Facility Investigation Work Plan, Parcel 21, Fort Wingate Depot Activity,
 Revision 30 September 2008. TerranearPMC, 30 September 2008.
- TPMC, 2011. RCRA Facility Investigation Report, Parcel 21, Fort Wingate Depot Activity.
 TerranearPMC, 14 January 2011.
- USACE, 2007. Environmental Information Management Plan, Fort Wingate Depot Activity. U.S.
 Army Corps of Engineers. 21 December 2007
- 16